

icon icon
In-Box

RELATED: [Dictionary](#)
[Thesaurus](#)

From romine-l-request@rootsweb.com Thu Jan 8 20:11:11 1998
Received: (from slist@localhost)
by fp-1.rootsweb.com (8.8.5/8.8.5) id TAA02952;
Thu, 8 Jan 1998 19:57:41 -0800 (PST)

Resent-Date: Thu, 8 Jan 1998 19:57:41 -0800 (PST)

Message-ID: <34B5A2B9.4674D3D8@spnt.com>

Date: Thu, 08 Jan 1998 20:08:25 -0800

From: Dauven <jamesed@spnt.com>

Reply-To: jamesed@spnt.com

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.01 [en] (Win95; I)

MIME-Version: 1.0

To: "Lisa L. Leatherman" <lleatherman@som-uky.campus.mci.net>

CC: romine-l@rootsweb.com

Subject: Re: Romeyn or Romines

X-Priority: 3 (Normal)

References: <1.5.4.32.19971228164230.0067da70@som-uky.campus.mci.net>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Resent-Message-ID: <"efRCXB.A.Ls.wAat0"@fp-1.rootsweb.com>

Resent-From: ROMINE-L@rootsweb.com

X-Mailing-List: <ROMINE-L@rootsweb.com> archive/latest/456

X-Loop: ROMINE-L@rootsweb.com

Precedence: list

Resent-Sender: ROMINE-L-request@rootsweb.com

[Reply/ReplyAll/Forward](#)

[Delete](#)

[Close](#)

Hi Lisa...and everyone else,

Well, I finally have gotten around to working with the huge amount of info that was in Lisa's post on the descendants of Janse Romeyn and have some questions. I have also come to the conclusion that the line of John Romine (married Julia Fowler) as presented here is probably correct and that Ackerman had it wrong. Will explain my thinking farther down the line in the post.

Lisa L. Leatherman wrote:

>
> -----

> Descendants of Janse Romeyn

> Generation No. 1

> 1. Jansel Romeyn was born Abt. 1627 in Holland, and died WFT Est. 1659-1718 in Greenwich.

> More About Janse Romeyn:

> Naturalized: 3D58-GX

Lisa, do you have a clue as to what they mean by "naturalized"? Also, if you know how to get it, I would really like to the name and address for the submitter as I have found not real evidence that this fellow was ever in North America and would love to know what the source of the info might be. :) I would also like to know the source of the information that Symon, Claes and Stoffell were brothers.

> Children of Klaes Romeyn and Styntje Terhune are:

> 12 viii. Rachel Romeyn, born Abt. 1700 in Hackensack, Bergen, NJ; died WFT Est. 1729-1794. She married (1) Joris Van Gyse March 22, 1723/24. She married (2) Joris Van Giesen March 22, 1723/24 in Hackensack, Bergen, NJ.

The list of children that is given agrees with what I have until this point. Rachel, the wife of Joris Van Giesen, is actually a granddaughter of Claes and Styntje by their son Janse Claes Romeyn. Rachel is not mentioned in the will of Claes but she is named, as Van Giesen's wife, in the will of Janse Claes.

Instead of Rachel, Claes' will names another son, Samuel. Samuel died between 1732 and 1735, leaving a will which names one son, Nicholas, and his wife, Saertye, a brother John Romyne and a brother-in-law, Philip Manthorn (Minthorn). Samuel had married on 30 Sept 1727 at NY Reformed Church to Saerty Minthorne. After Samuel's death, Saertye married Aarmont Webber on 7 Oct 1735.

>
> 22. Claes Danielson4 Romeyn (Daniel Claesen3, Klaes Jansen2, Jansel)

was born 1724, and died WFT Est. 1761-1815. He married Elizabeth Kip 1746.

>
 > Child of Claes Romeyn and Elizabeth Kip is:
 > + 32 i. Nicholas5 Romine, born 1758; died 1819.
 According to what I have, Claes and Elizabeth have other children. The one that is important to us at the moment is another son, named Daniel who married Derricksie Demarest. What is important about him is that both this Daniel (I'll call him #1) and his brother, Nicholas, name sons Daniel. What I think Ackerman did was to confuse the two younger Daniels.

> 32. Nicholas5 Romine (Claes Danielson4 Romeyn, Daniel Claesen3, Klaes Jansen2, Jansel1) was born 1758, and died 1819. He married Jane Mandeville WFT Est. 1775-1792.
 >
 > Notes for Jane Mandeville:
 > Jane Maude Veal per "Romine Family".
 >
 > Child of Nicholas Romine and Jane Mandeville is:
 > + 39 i. Daniel N.6 Romine, born 1794 in ,, NJ; died Abt. 1855.
 >
 > Generation No. 6

Daniel #2 is:
 > 39. Daniel N.6 Romine (Nicholas5, Claes Danielson4 Romeyn, Daniel Claesen3, Klaes Jansen2, Jansel1) was born 1794 in ,, NJ, and died Abt. 1855. He married Sarah R. Clark WFT Est. 1813-1840, daughter of John Clark and Rachel Doremus.
 >
 > Notes for Daniel N. Romine:
 > 1795-1864 in "Romine Family". They migrated from Boonton, N.J. to Michigan about 1835, Settling on the line between Wayne and Monroe Counties.
 >
 > Notes for Sarah R. Clark:
 > 1795-1873 in "Romine Family".
 >
 > Children of Daniel Romine and Sarah Clark are:
 > + 44 i. John W.7 Romine, born 1829 in ,, NY; died WFT Est. 1871-1920.
 > 45 ii. Nicholas Romyne, born 1833 in ,, NJ; died WFT Est. 1834-1923.
 > 46 iii. Ann Maria Romyne, born 1836 in ,, NJ; died WFT Est. 1837-1930.
 > 47 iv. Girl Romyne, born Abt. 1820; died WFT Est. 1821-1914.
 > 48 v. Girl Romyne, born Abt. 1825; died WFT Est. 1826-1919.

Lisa, thanks so much for providing the name of Sarah Clark's parents. Once I had it in the computer and really looked at it with what I already had, suddenly I began to understand what I think has happened. This idea is based on the Dutch naming system...about which I am a bit divided in my thoughts. I recognize it being an excellent tool for identification but don't know if it should be considered proof all by itself without other supporting evidence. I personally just feel a bit uneasy about it if I can't find any other substantiating evidence.

But here it goes. Ackerman lists four children for Daniel and Sarah Clark, three of whom were baptised at Montville, NJ. These children are:

1. Rachel, b. 1 Sept 1818
2. Jane, b. 27 Oct 1820, bap. 10 Dec 1820
3. Nicholas, b. 6 Feb 1823, bap. 13 April 1823
4. Jane, b. 8 April 1825, 10 July 1825

From this, it would appear that Rachel was named for Sarah's mother, Rachel Doremus and Jane named for Daniel's mother, Jane Mandeville. The fact that the name Jane was used again seems to strengthen the idea that they are using the Dutch naming system. The son Nicholas would be named after Daniel's father while the later son, John, of whom Ackerman is unaware, would have been named after Sarah's father, John Clark.

From this it would seem that the Daniel who married Sarah Clark is the son of Nicholas Romeyn and his wife, Jane Mandeville and that he is NOT the son of the above Daniel #1 and Derricksie Demarest. Their son Daniel (#3), is the one who married Mary VanNess and Maria Freebush.

Ackerman presents them just the opposite and I now think that Ackerman is probably wrong. I hope that those of you who are working on this line if you find any other supporting evidence, will be sure to let me know what you find! :)

Renee

[Reply/ReplyAll/Forward](#)

[Delete](#)

[Close](#)

Submit

(Move to Selected Folder)

